Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20554

In the Matter of

Amendment of Part 97.205g of the Commission's Rules concerning repeater stations

Comments submitted by:

Robert C. Rogers, NBFAU 6133 Cloverway South Saginaw, MI 48603

RECEIVED **O**RIGINAL FILE 'APR - 5 1991)) RM-7649) EDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION REGEIVED OF THE SECRETARY 5 1991

FCC MAIL BRANCH

- 1. I have been involved with a club repeater in Saginaw, MI for many years. It is impossible to screen transmissions in progress. Electronically there are ways to do this. It involves a great investment of money. Now that there is a means to do this, how does the control operator get the information? The only legal way, as the rules are presently stated is to rent a telephone line. Another great expense.
- 2. This amendment will also put the burden on the originating station. Now the control operator doesn't have the burden of deciding what is legal or illegal, as some field offices and monitoring stations interpret the content of messages differently. It almost comes to the point of having a communications lawyer on hand to get an idea what is legal or illegal.
- 3. Under this amendment the enforcement division should be

		directed to ask for maximum penalties for offenders.	
		A <u>nyone that gets a second offense should have there</u>	
	7 E		
	<u>to</u> . ∵		·
	·	j	
	· :		
	· h / ··		,
	1		
	- -		
	([
	_	<u> </u>	
 ,	- 6	·	
			